



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,595	02/12/2002	Yoshikazu Aoki	122.1487	4322

21171 7590 10/20/2004

STAAS & HALSEY LLP
SUITE 700
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

HO, THE T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2126

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/073,595

Applicant(s)

AOKI, YOSHIKAZU

Examiner

The Thanh Ho

Art Unit

2126

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 February 2002.
2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/12/2002.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the application filed 2/12/2002.
2. Claims 1-16 have been examined and are pending in the application.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the abstract should be limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Devins U.S Patent No. 6,762,761.

As to claim 1, Devins teaches a method of controlling operation in a computer system (Fig. 4), comprising:

preparing various kinds of files that show various operation statuses of the computer system (sequence of computer-executable instructions, line 1 column 4), according to changes in the operation status (...monitor the status register

Art Unit: 2126

100 in accelerator 30, and delay execution of instructions in memory 20 until the status register contains specified status information..., lines 64-67 column 3), and storing the prepared files in a memory section within the computer system (instructions stored in memory 20, line 48 column 3);

recognizing a predetermined operation status of the computer system (specified status information is present in status register 100, line 52 column 3), depending on whether a file corresponding to the predetermined operation status exists within the memory section or not (executable instructions stored within the memory ready to be executed when the status is ready, line 58 column 3 to line 7 column 4); and

controlling the operation according to a result of the recognition (the system executes the instruction when the DLP 25 recognizes specified status information within the status register, line 58 column 3 to line 7 column 4).

Devins does not explicitly teach controlling the operating of an operating system. However, Devins teaches (lines 59-63 column 8) the system of the invention is implemented within an operating system. Therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would conclude that the method of Devins is used to control the operation of an operating system.

As to claim 2, Devins as modified further teaches the control of the operation of the operating system is for starting a predetermined job (graphics operations, line 37 column 2).

As to claim 3, Devins as modified further teaches the predetermined job consists of a plurality of programs (hardware programs of the graphics

Art Unit: 2126

operations, lines 35-37 column 2; captured as an executable program on memory 20, lines 66-67 column 4).

As to claim 4, Devins as modified further teaches the starting of the predetermined job is determined based on whether a plurality of the files exist or not within the memory section (executable instructions stored within the memory ready to be executed when the status is ready, line 58 column 3 to line 7 column 4).

As to claim 5, it is a method claim of claim 4. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 4 above.

As to claim 6, Devins as modified further teaches each of the files is provided with an alias (a unique mnemonic identifier, lines 63-64 column 4), and the operation status of the computer system is recognized based on the alias (...once captured as an executable program, it can be executed on demand by specifying its assigned mnemonic. The Execute_Program instruction causes the DLP 25 to read the hardware instructions corresponding to id stored in memory 20, and issue the instructions to accelerator 30..., line 66 column 4 to line 12 column 5).

As to claims 7-10, they are method claims of claim 6. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claim 6 above.

As to claim 11, Devins as modified further teaches changing the operation status of the computer system based on a starting of the predetermined job (...fetches instructions in the captured program and issues them to a graphics accelerator, which executes the instructions to perform

Art Unit: 2126

graphics operations. The graphics accelerator includes status registers containing status information relating to the graphics operations performed by the accelerator..., lines 41-46 column 2); and starting a second job according to the changed new operation status of the computer system (ability to cause the captured programs to execute responsively to the status information allows a programmer to link graphics operations to specific hardware events represented by the status information, lines 52-55 column 2).

As to claims 12-15, they are method claims of claim 11. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claim 11 above.

As to claim 16, it is a computer program product claim of claim 1. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1 above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to The Thanh Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-3762. A voice mail service is also available for this number. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday, 8:30 am – 5:00 pm.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O Box 1450


Art Unit: 2126

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or fax to:

- AFTER-FINAL faxes must be signed and sent to (703) 872 - 9306.
- OFFICAL faxes must be signed and sent to (703) 872 - 9306.
- NON OFFICAL faxes should not be signed, please send to (571) 273 – 3762

TTH
October 7, 2004


SUPERVISOR
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100